1. Please review and follow the Tentative Ruling Instructions which can be found on the Court's website using the following link: https://sf.courts.ca.gov/divisions/unified-family-court/ufc-tentative-rulings.

- 2. If you wish to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, you must notify the other party (unless there is a restraining order in place) and the Court Clerk in the Department where the hearing is scheduled of your objection by 4:00 PM the Court day prior to the hearing date. Court days do not include Court holidays, Saturdays, or Sundays. The Court's Holiday Schedule can be found on the Court's website using the following link: https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-schedules.
- 3. To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 403 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, please call (415) 551–3741 or send an email to Department403@sftc.org.
- 4. To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 404 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, please call (415) 551–3744 or send an email to Department404@sftc.org.
- 5. When you contact the Court Clerk to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, please specify the paragraph(s) and / or line number(s) of the Tentative Ruling which contains the language to which you object.
- 6. You may appear at your hearing either (a) in-person; (b) by video; or (c) by phone. Pursuant to SFLR 11.7(D)(4), if you choose to appear by video or phone, you must be continuously connected to Zoom from 8:50 a.m. until 12:00 p.m. or until your hearing is concluded. If you fail to appear in-person, by video, or phone, the Court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. The Court is not required to contact you before your hearing.
- 7. If you choose to appear by video or by phone, you must comply with the Notice and Instructions for Remote Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth below.

SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT UNIFIED FAMILY COURT NOTICE AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR REMOTE APPEARANCES

You may appear at your court hearing either (1) in-person or (2) remotely by video or telephone. If you fail to appear in-person or remotely by video or telephone, the court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. *The clerk will NOT contact you*. Remote appearances by video or telephone can be made utilizing the ZOOM platform, **effective January 2, 2024**:

- If you are *joining by video*, go to www.zoom.com/join and follow the instructions below:
 - o Type in the Meeting ID (see below for department Meeting IDs and Passcodes) and click "Join".
 - o Click "Launch Meeting" then "Open zoom.us".
 - O Zoom will launch and you will be asked for the Meeting Passcode. Enter the passcode for your Meeting ID for the respective department for your court hearing.
 - o Enable your camera and click "Join".
 - Once you join, a prompt to use computer audio will appear, click "Join with Computer Audio".
 - o Enter your full first and last name TO IDENTIFY YOURSELF TO THE COURT.
 - o Using headphones may help you hear more clearly.
- If you are *joining by phone*, dial 1-(669)254-5252 or 1-(669)216-1590 and enter the Meeting ID and Passcode as described below.

Department 403

Meeting ID: 161 463 0304 Passcode: 114482

You can also log into your hearing **directly** using the link below: https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1614630304?pwd=OTZ1cVZaQlRYWXpFQ2hTaEFuZnhIZz09

Department 404

Meeting ID: 161 305 3325 Passcode: 282709

You can also log into your hearing **directly** using the link below:

https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1613053325?pwd=SkdXWGVkQkowckJSNnJwSSttYkR6dz09

When you join the hearing on Zoom:

- 1. You are to mute your audio when you are not speaking.
- 2. State your name before you speak for proper identification to the court and for all the parties in your case. Only one person MUST speak at a time.

PROHIBITION ON RECORDING: Do not record the hearing in any way. Any recording of a court proceeding, *including screen shots, other visual or audio copying* of the hearing, is **prohibited.** Any violation is punishable to the fullest extent under the law, including but not limited to monetary sanctions up to \$1,000, restricted entry to future hearings, or other sanctions deemed appropriate by the court.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 1 2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT 4 5 6 JOHN WALN, Case Number: FPT-24-378339 7 Petitioner Hearing Date: April 9, 2024 8 VS. Hearing Time: 9:00 AM 9 NADEZHDA VOLN, Department: 404 10 Presiding: MICHELLE TONG Respondent 11 12 REQUEST FOR ORDER RE: GENETIC (DNA) TESTING 13 TENTATIVE RULING Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the 14 Court makes the following findings and orders: 15 16 A. Procedural History 17 1) On 2/2/2024, Petitioner filed a Petition to Determine Parental Relationship asking for a DNA test 18 to confirm whether he is the father of the child born to Respondent (who is Petitioner's wife) on 19 12/29/2023 in San Francisco. 20 2) On 2/2/2024, Petitioner filed the instant Request for Order asking for genetic testing regarding his 21 parentage of the minor child. 22 3) On 2/5/2024, Petitioner filed a Proof of Service of Summons indicating the Petition, Summons, 23 Request for Order, and Tentative Ruling Instructions were served on Respondent by substituted 24 service. There is no declaration of diligence attached to the Proof of Service of Summons stating 25 the following (as required by San Francisco Local Rules, rule 11.14(A)(8)): "1) The factual basis 26 upon which the process server concluded that the place of service and mailing was either the 27 'dwelling house, usual place of abode, usual place of business, or usual mailing address other 28 than a United States Postal Service box' of the person served; 2) That not less than three attempts 29 at personal service were made at three different times of the day, on three different days; and 3)

At least one of these attempts was made at the last known residence address of the person to be served."

4) Respondent has not filed any pleadings in this matter.

B. Findings and Orders

- 1) The hearing on Petitioner's 2/2/2024 Request for Order is continued to Tuesday, 6/25/2024 at 9:00 AM in Dept. 404 for Petitioner to file and serve (by mail is sufficient) the requisite declaration regarding service of the Petition, Summons, Request for Order, and Tentative Ruling Instructions or to effectuate valid service at least 16 Court days prior to the next hearing date in advance of the next hearing date.
- 2) At least 16 Court days prior to the next hearing date, Petitioner must also serve a written copy of this order setting the next hearing date so Respondent is aware of the next hearing date.
- 3) Petitioner is encouraged to seek assistance from the ACCESS Center. Information for contacting the ACCESS Center can be found here: https://sf.courts.ca.gov/self-help.
- 4) The Court will prepare the order and mail a copy to Petitioner.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 1 2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT 4 5 JHOLOR RAMIREZ SARTE, 6 Case Number: FDI-18-790283 7 Petitioner Hearing Date: April 9, 2024 8 VS. Hearing Time: 9:00 AM 9 ARMANDO VASQUEZ SARTE, Department: 404 10 Presiding: MICHELLE TONG Respondent 11 12 REQUEST FOR ORDER OF REQUEST FOR ORDER CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION 13 (PARENTING TIME) 14 TENTATIVE RULING Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the 15 16 Court makes the following findings and orders: 17 1) The parties have one child together, Armando, age 15. 18 Mother filed a responsive pleading. 19 The parties attended mediation and could not come to an agreement. 20 4) The Court finds it is in the best interest of the child to have a relationship with both parents. 21 5) The Court maintains the custody orders that have been in effect since July 27, 2020, awarding Mother 22 have sole legal and sole physical custody of Armando. 23 6) The Court orders Father to have parenting time with child every Saturday from noon to 5:00PM 24 starting Saturday April 13, 2024. 25 7) The parties shall decide where Father will meet Armando. 26 8) Father's request for overnight visits is DENIED at this time. 27 9) Father may also have direct contact with Armando. 28 10) Mother shall encourage Armando to spend time with his father.

- 11) The Court sets a review hearing on Father's parenting time on June 18, 2024 at 9:00 AM in Dept. 404. Parties shall file and serve updated declarations about visitation 10 days before the next court date.
- 12) Parties are reminded to check the court website the Court day before by 2:00PM for the tentative ruling.
- 13) Father's attorney shall prepare the order.

14) **Preparation of Order**: If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT 4 5 6 DANIEL WHEELER, Case Number: FDI-18-790299 7 Petitioner Hearing Date: April 9, 2024 8 VS. Hearing Time: 9:00 AM 9 ADRIENNE WHEELER, Department: 404 10 Presiding: MICHELLE TONG Respondent 11 12 REQUEST FOR ORDER OF TEMPORARY EMERGENCY ORDER, REQUEST FOR ORDER 13 TEMPORARY EMERGENCY ORDER, [X] ORDERS TO ENFORCE FINAL 14 JUDGMENT, INCLUDING CEASE AND DESIST FROM ALIENATION OF CHILD FROM FATHER 15 TENTATIVE RULING Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the 16 17 Court makes the following findings and orders: 18 1) The parties have one child together, Hannah, age 16 (17 in June). 19 Mother filed a responsive pleading. 20 The parties have jointly agreed to numerous stipulations and a Memorandum of Understanding 21 ("MOU"), with revisions and supplements that outline visitation, therapy and other matters related to 22 their daughter. 23 4) The Court emphasizes sections in the agreements between the parties: 24 The parties agreed to family therapist is Dr. Steven Friedlander. Based on the emails 25 provided by Mother, Dr. Friedlander referred the family to Dr. Mary Rolison. The parties 26 should consult with Dr. Freidlander about other referrals so the parties may jointly agree on a 27 family therapist. 28 b. Non-disparagement clauses about either parent to Hannah have been stipulated to by both

29

sides.

5) The Court does not find sufficient evidence of Mother's attempt to alienate Father or Father's family from child. Father's request for monetary sanctions is DENIED as there are no contempt proceedings before the Court. The Court notes Hannah is almost 17 years old and appears to be an active, independent, and academically thriving teenager that is finishing her junior year, about to enter the final summer into her senior year of high school. The Court reminds the parties to adhere to the stipulations and MOU as they remain in full force and effect. The parents should be mindful of Hannah's age, independence, academic and extracurricular demands in the context of the existing visitation schedule. 9) Either parent's failure to follow the stipulations and MOU may affect the disobeying parent's legal and / or physical custodial rights and / or could subject that parent to contempt proceedings. 10) The Court finds there are no other issues to adjudicate and takes this matter off calendar. 11) The Court will prepare the order.

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
3	UNIFIED FAMILY COURT	
4		
5		
6	VEDAT DEVIREN,) Case Number: FDI-20-793519
7	Petitioner) Hearing Date: April 9, 2024
8	VS.) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM
9	SIBEL DEVIREN,) Department: 404
10	Respondent) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG
11)
12	REQUEST FOR ORDER RE MOTION TO SEAL RECORDS	
13	TENTATIVE RULING	
14	Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the	
15	Court makes the following findings and orders:	
16	1) On for hearing is Petitioner's Request for Order filed 2/8/2024 asking the Court to seal the parties'	
17	trial briefs, witness lists, exhibit lists, and a number of exhibits that Petitioner was planning to	
18	introduce at trial.	
19	2) There is no Proof of Service on file evidencing service of Petitioner's Request for Order nor has	
20	Petitioner filed and served a declaration updating this department regarding which of the exhibits	
21	Petitioner is requesting to seal were actually admitted into evidence at trial.	
22	3) The hearing on Petitioner's Request for Order filed 2/8/2024 is continued to Tuesday, 6/25/2024 at	
23	9:00 AM in Dept. 404.	
24	4) By the deadlines set forth in the Code of Civil Procedure in advance of the next hearing date,	
25	Petitioner shall file and serve a declaration updating this department regarding which of the exhibits	
26	Petitioner is requesting to seal were actually admitted into evidence at trial.	
27	5) By the deadlines set forth in the Code of Civil Procedure in advance of the next hearing date,	
28	Petitioner shall serve the Request for Orde	er, accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities,
29	updated declaration, and a copy of this cor	ntinuance order on Respondent.

6) Petitioner's attorney shall prepare the order.

7) **Preparation of Order**: If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 1 2 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT 4 5 MARILICE SANTOS DA BIENVENU, 6 Case Number: FDI-21-794491 7 Petitioner Hearing Date: April 9, 2024 8 VS. Hearing Time: 9:00 AM 9 ALEXANDRE PHILLIPE PAUL BIENVENU, Department: 404

REQUEST FOR ORDER: TO VACATE JUDGEMENT OF DISSOLUTION

TENTATIVE RULING

Presiding: MICHELLE TONG

As there is no evidence in the record that Petitioner was served with a copy of the Tentative Ruling Instructions, as required by San Francisco Local Rules, rule 11.7(D)(3)(a), appearances are required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.

At the hearing, the Court intends to adopt the following findings and orders.

Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the Court makes the following findings and orders:

A. Procedural History

Respondent

- On for hearing is Respondent's Request for Order filed 2/1/2024 asking the Court to vacate the Judgment filed 2/6/2023 on the grounds that Petitioner's financial disclosures contained mistakes.
- 2) On 3/22/2024, Respondent filed an Affidavit of Service indicating that Petitioner was personally served with Respondent's Request for Order on 3/9/2024.
- 3) Petitioner has not filed a Responsive Declaration.

B. Findings and Orders

29

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 1) The hearing on Respondent's Request for Order is continued to Thursday, 6/27/2024 at 9:00 AM in Dept. 404.
- 2) At least 20 calendar days prior to the next hearing date, Respondent shall file and serve a declaration under penalty of perjury which addresses the following questions:
 - a. According to the Proof of Service filed 3/2/2021, Respondent was personally served with the Petition and Summons at 603 Bush Street San Francisco, CA 94108 on 2/27/2021.
 Does Respondent dispute receipt of the Petition and Summons?
 - b. According to the Request to Enter Default filed 12/16/2021, Respondent was served by mail with the Request to Enter Default at 4237 Fifth Ave. San Diego, CA 92103 on 12/16/2021. Does Respondent dispute receipt of the Request to Enter Default?
 - c. According to the Proof of Service filed 2/6/2023, Respondent was served by mail with Petitioner's Community and Separate Property Declarations at 4237 Fifth Ave. San Diego, CA 92103 on 12/9/2021. Does Respondent dispute receipt of Petitioner's Community and Separate Property Declarations?
 - d. According to the Proof of Service filed 2/6/2023, Respondent was served by mail with Petitioner's Amended Community and Separate Property Declarations at 4237 Fifth Ave. San Diego, CA 92103 on 8/31/2022. Does Respondent dispute receipt of Petitioner's Amended Community and Separate Property Declarations?
- 3) At least 10 calendar days prior to the next hearing date, Petitioner may file and serve a declaration responding to Respondent's new declaration and original Request for Order.
- 4) The parties are strongly encouraged to seek assistance from the ACCESS Center.
- 5) The Court will prepare the order and mail a copy to both parties.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 1 2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT 4 5 6 CASIERRA MICHAUX, Case Number: FDI-21-794605 7 Petitioner Hearing Date: April 9, 2024 8 VS. Hearing Time: 9:00 AM 9 SHAUN MICHAUX, Department: 404 10 Presiding: MICHELLE TONG Respondent 11 12 REQUEST FOR ORDER OF CHILD CUSTODY, TO BE ALLOWED TO TRANSPORT MINOR 13 CHILD TO SCHOOL IN THE MORNING AND NECESSARY EVENTS; REQUEST FOR ORDER 14 RE: VISITATION (PARENTING TIME), AND OTHER: RESPONDENT-FATHER IS NOT ABIDING 15 BY THE FOAH PROCEEDING HEARD ON JANUARY 17, 2023 AND I NEED THE COURT TO 16 ENFORCE ITS TERMS; REQUEST FOR ORDER RE: INSURANCE REINSTATEMENT; ORDER 17 SHORTENING TIME, INTAKE FORMS TO DISCOVERY CENTER NEEDED IMMEDIATELY FOR 18 REUNIFICATION 19 TENTATIVE RULING 20 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the Court makes the following findings and orders: 21 22 A. Custody and Visitation 23 1) Mother has filed numerous requests for orders to take child to school in the mornings and other 24 necessary events: enforce existing orders and order Father to cooperate with family reunification 25 therapy documentation. 26 2) Father filed a responsive declaration. 27 3) The Court orders Father to return all necessary paperwork to Mother by 5:00PM on Friday April 28 12, 2024 in order to enroll in family reunification therapy. 29 4) The Court orders Mother's parenting time to be as follows:

- a. Thursdays from 2:00PM to 5:00PM. Pickups will be from school and drop offs will be at Stonestown.
- b. Starting Saturday April 20, 2024, alternating Saturdays from noon to Sundays5:00PM. Exchanges will occur at Stonestown.
- 5) The Court orders Father to file and serve the other parent with Shacier's attendance and academic reports with updated declaration regarding visitation by April 10, 2024. Mother shall file and serve updated declarations by the same date.
- 6) Visitation and update on family therapy will be reviewed on April 24, 2024 at 1:45PM when the parties return for the contempt hearing.

B. Petitioner's Request for Order Filed 2/2/2024

- There is no Proof of Service on file for Petitioner's Request for Order filed 2/2/2024 and Respondent has not filed a Responsive Declaration.
- 2) The hearing on Petitioner's Request for Order filed 2/2/2024 regarding Petitioner's requests related to dental insurance is continued to Thursday, 6/27/2024 at 9:00 AM in Dept. 404 to provide additional time to Petitioner to effectuate valid service.
- 3) At least 16 Court days prior to the next hearing date, Petitioner must serve the Request for Order filed 2/2/2024, a blank Responsive Declaration (FL-320), the Tentative Ruling Instructions, and a copy of this order setting the next hearing date so the Respondent is aware of the next hearing date.
- 4) If Petitioner does not file a Proof of Service evidencing compliance with the above order at least 10 calendar days prior to the next hearing date, the hearing date may be removed from calendar.
- 5) Petitioner is encouraged to seek assistance from the ACCESS Center. Information for contacting the ACCESS Center can be found here: https://sf.courts.ca.gov/self-help.

C. Preparation of Order

1) The Court will prepare the order.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED FAMILY COURT

Case Number: FDI-22-796119

Presiding: MICHELLE TONG

Hearing Date: April 9, 2024

Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

Department: 404

4

1

2

3

5

6 ANGELIQUE GUILLERMO-JIMENEZ, 7

8 VS.

9 ERIC H GUILLERMO-JIMENEZ,

10 Respondent

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29

REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF CHANGE OF VISITATION (PARENTING TIME), OFW

TO TALKING PARENTS RESPONDENT TO PAY CAR REGISTRATION AND TICKETS

TENTATIVE RULING

Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the Court makes the following findings and orders:

A. Custody and Visitation

Petitioner

- 1) The parties have two children together, Robert (age 9) and Riley (age 7).
- 2) The parties have shared joint legal and joint physical custody since July 12, 2022.
 - a. The Court orders the custodial parent is responsible for taking the children to school on time.
 - b. The Court orders the receiving parent shall pick up the children, curbside from the other parent's residence.
- 3) The Court recommends the parties participate in Kid's Turn, which charges families a fee on a sliding scale. Parties shall share equally the cost of Kid's Turn, should they agree to participate.
- 4) The Court orders the parties to restore communication about the children on the free version of the Talking Parents app. Parties are ordered to sign up on the app by Wednesday April 10, 2024 at 5:00PM.

- 5) Parties are reminded to follow the existing visitation schedule and orders issued by the Court today. Failure to follow orders may subject either parent to contempt proceedings or affect their parental rights.
- 6) All other orders remain in full force and effect.
- 7) The Court finds there are no other child custody and visitation matters to resolve.
- 8) Future dates in this case are scheduled for financial matters.

B. Honda Pilot

- Although Petitioner states, "I was responsible for all community debt, including the car loans,"
 the Court is unable to locate any prior orders regarding how the parties should share the costs of
 the Honda Pilot.
- 2) Effective 4/9/2024 and continuing for so long as Respondent has exclusive use of the Honda Pilot, Respondent shall be solely responsible for the annual registration fee (including for 2024) and any parking tickets accumulated on or after 4/9/2024.
- 3) The Court's jurisdiction to adjudicate any reimbursement claims related to the Honda Pilot from date of separation through 4/8/2024 is reserved for trial.

C. Preparation of Order

1) The Court will prepare the order.